

MSU 4.1-541
Appl. No. 09/761,143
Amdt. Dated: March 30, 2009
Reply to Final Office Action mailed December 3, 2008

REMARKS


This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed December 3, 2008, to place the claims in better condition for appeal. Claims 1, 3-6, 15-18, 27-30 and 34-36 were rejected over 35 USC 112, first paragraph for failing to comply with the written description requirement. The amendments correct the claims in the manner suggested in the Office Action.

Claims 35 and 36 were rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The basic assumption of the rejection is incorrect. Applicants' claims are a "dried mixture". There can be no hydrolysis without water. It is requested that the Examiner reconsider this rejection.

MSU 4.1-541
Appl. No. 09/761,143
Amdt. Dated: March 30, 2009
Reply to Final Office Action mailed December 3, 2008

It is now believed that Claims 1, 3-6, 15-18,
27-30 and 34-36 are in condition for appeal.

Respectfully



Ian C. McLeod
Registration No. 20,931

IAN C. McLEOD, P.C.
2190 Commons Parkway
Okemos, Michigan 48864

Telephone: (517) 347-4100
Facsimile: (517) 347-4103
Email: ianmcld@comcast.net